	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/067,745	IIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) Christopher L. Lavin.	(3)	
(2) Michael G. Gilman (Reg. No. 19,114).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims 1, 50, 53, and 57		
Claims discussed: 1, 45, 50, 53, and 57		
Prior art documents discussed:  Okamoto ("Development of Parking Assistance System Using Virtual Viewpoint Image Synthesis")		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner suggested that the applicant bring the previously identified allowable material, which was the concept of displaying the tire in the virtual viewpoint image, into the independent claims. The applicant agreed with this suggestion.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	BRIAN PRIMARY	WERNER (EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		